J. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Vol. 32 No. 3 (2008)

EFFECTS OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI ON MICROPROPAGATED BANANA

KHADE, SHARDA W.* & B.F. RODRIGUES

Department of Botany, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa - 403 206 (India) *Current add for correspondence: IInd Floor, Vidhyanagar Colony, Carenzalem, Panaji, Goa 403 002. Email: sharda_khade @yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Micropropagated plants of banana cultivar (*Musa acuminata x Musa balbisiana* AAAB) were inoculated with two species of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi [*Glomus mosseae* (Nicol. & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe and *Glomus fasiculatum* (Thaxter & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe] during the initiation of acclimatization phase. Inoculated plants exhibited significantly greater biomass as compared to the non-inoculated control plants. However, *Glomus mosseae* was more effective in stimulating growth of micropropagated banana plantlets as compared to *Glomus fasciculatum*.

INTRODUCTION

Newadays, in vitro micropropagation techniques are being increasingly applied to production of fruit trees. This procedure results in disappearance of natural microflora of the micropropagated plants. Thus, during relatively long periods of their development, the plants are without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Inoculation of micro propagated plantlets with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have shown to induce positive influence on plant acclimatization and growth (Ravolanirina *et al.*, 1989; Schubert *et al.*, 1992; Vestberg & Estaum,

Newadays, *in vitro* micropropagation 1994; Brazanti *et al.*, 1992). Therefore, it is niques are being increasingly applied interesting to explore the effects of mycorrroduction of fruit trees. This procedure hizal inoculation on early stages developlts in disappearance of natural microof the micropropagated plants. Thus, blishment and growth of micro-propagated ng relatively long periods of their plantlets (Fortuna *et al.*, 1998).

> Banana (*Musa* sp.) has been reported to exhibit positive response to inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and its growth and P-uptake capacity has been reported to increase significantly as compared to non-inoculated plants (Declerck *et al.*, 1995). Banana micropropagation is a

well established technique with potential MATERIALS AND METHODS: application for the production of virus-free plants. Micropropagated banana plants are grown in sterile media invitro and transplanted thereafter in substrate which, even when not sterilized often lack arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal propagules. At this stage plants can be easily stressed by unfavourable nutritional and environmental conditions. The presence of well developed mycorrhizal system, absorbing nutrients and water from the substrate by attached net work of external hyphae may be an important factor to plant growth (Schubert et al., 1990).

Previous work reported that micropropagated plants could be successfully colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi invitro (Kiernan et al., 1984: Declerck et al., 1995). However, *invitro* inoculation is a lengthy and cumbersome practice requiring isolation and sterilization of fungal spores or colonized root fragments. Further, after transplanting. micropropagated plants replace majority of their roots grown invitro with new ones and as a consequence most of the mycorrhizal roots would be lost at this stage. Therefore, in vivo arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation seems more suitable or commercial application than invitro inoculation (Schubert et al., 1990). The response of micropropagated banana to inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under controlled environmental conditions has been studied by Declerck et al., 1995. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of mycorrhizal inoculation on post-vitro acclimatization and growth of micropropagated banana plantlets.

Pot cultures of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi - Peat moss based cultures of Glomus mosseae (Nicol. & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe and Glomus fasciculatum (Thaxter & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe were procured from Depar-tment of Microbiology. UAS. G.K.V.K Cam-pus, Bangalore, India. The purity of the inoculum was checked (Gerdemann & Nic-olson, 1963) and it contained 350 spores⁻¹ 50g soil.

Plant material - Six weeks old micropropagated plantlets of banana Musa acuminata x Musa balbisiana AAAB) were procured from nursery farm prior to hardening stage.

Experimental set up - Garden soil (pH 6.1; E.C 0.06 mm hos/cm) of low available phosphorus status (6 Kg/Ha) was used. The macronutrient and the micronutrient content of the soil were analyzed in soil. testing laboratory, which is recorded as follows.

- Macronutrients- Total Nitrogen (51 Kg/ Ha): Available phosphorus (89 Kg/ Ha).
- Micronutrients- Zn (2.67µg/g), Cu (3.84 μg/g). Fe (2.63μg/g) & Mn (19.5μg/g)

Soil was sterilized for 2hrs at 15 lbs for three consecutive days to eliminate naturally occurring endophytes and other contaminants. Clean pots of 12.5cm diameter were taken and filled with sterilized soil up to ³/₄ th of its volume. 1.5g of inoculum were spread in a layer. It was covered with 2cm of soil. Tissue cultured plantlets of banana procured from the nursery farm, just prior to hardening stage (*i.e.* with out any AM colonization) were placed in the pots. 0.5g of the inoculum

Khade & Rodrigues

it was layered with sterilized soil. The experiment consisted of three treatments with 15 replications as given below.

- Control (un-inoculated plantlets)
- Inoculated with *Glomus fasciculatum* .
- Inoculated with Glomus mosseae

The pots were placed in glass house and watered daily to field capacity with Table 1 : Influence of arbuscular mycorrdistilled water. At weekly intervals the plants received Hoaglands nutrient solution from which phosphorus had been excluded. After two months, the plants were transferred to 35-cm diameter earthen pots containing unsterelized garden soil. The nutrient status of the soil is the same as mentioned above. The pots were maintained outside the glass house for another 2 months. Growth measurements were recorded at the end of 120 days. The parameters carefully selected to examine the effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were plant height, stem girth (measured 2cm above the soil), leaf area (third leaf from top), root length and fresh weights of the root and shoot system. Further, under ground and the aerial parts of the plants of three treatments were oven dried at 80°C for 6 hrs and constant dry weights were recorded.

RESULTS

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculated plants showed distinct morphological differences such as profuse branching of roots over un-inoculated (control) plants. Results obtained are recorded in table 1 and 2. The plant height and leaf area

were spread around the root zone and again ranged from 18.00 cm (control plants)-30cm (inoculated plants) and 40cm² (control plants)- 147cm² (inoculated plants) respectively (Table1). The stem girth and root length ranged from 2.00 cm (control plants)- 4.70 cm (inoculated plants) and 12.0 cm (control plants) - 28.0 cm (inoculated plants) respectively (Table 1).

hizal fungi on growth attributes of micro-propagated banana

Treatment	*Plant height (cm)	*Leaf Area (cm²)	*Stem girth (cm)	*Root length (cm)
Control	18 ± 1.25	40 ±2.68	2.4 ±0.30	12 ± 0.35
Glomus facsic ul atum	24 = 1 .75	82.5 0 ± 1.26	3 .6 ± 0.15	$\begin{array}{c} 15.5 \pm \\ 0.32 \end{array}$
Glomus mosseae	30 ± 3.85	147±2.44	4.7± 0.07	28 ± 9.52
C.D.0.05	5.97	2.34	0.23	0.52

*Mean = $n \pm 1$ S.D; F test significant at 0.05 level of probability.

The fresh shoot and root weight, ranged from 4.57 g (control plants) - 13.3 (inoculated plants) and 2.50g (control plants) - 5.25g (inoculated plants) respectively (Table 2). The dry shoot and root weight, ranged from 1.8g (control plants) -2.51 (inoculated plants) and 1.64g (control plants) - 4.84g (inoculated plants) respectively (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Studies on the response of Glomus mosseae and Glomus fasciculatum on growth of micropropagated banana reveagrowth in mycorrhizal plants as compared dance with the findings of Sivaprasad et al. to un-inoculated controls. The beneficial (1995) on exvitro establishment of tissueeffects of arbuscular mycorrhizal coloni- cultured plantlets of jackfruit through zation on plant growth has been mostly arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Fortuna et attributed to improved uptake concentration of nutrients in plant tissue shoot and root fresh weight in microproespecially phosphorus (Declerck et al., 1995). In the present study, at the final mycorrhizal fungi in vivo as compared to harvest, leaf area of all the inoculated control in a green house experiment. significantly larger plants were than controls. Similar observations were reported by Schubert et al. (1990) who studied the effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on micropropagated grapevine at the beginning of acclimatization phase in a glass house experiment.

Table 2: Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on biomass of micropropagated banana.

Treatment	Fresh weight		Dry weight	
	*Shoot	*Root	*Shoot	*Root
	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)
Control	4.57 ±	2.5 ±	1.8 ±	1.64 ±
	0.23	0.32	0.04	0.09
Inoculated with Glomus fasciculatum	8.72 ± 0.05	3.5 ± 0.22	2.15 ± 0.09	1.76 ± 0.03
Inoculated with	$13.3 \pm 0.55 \\ 0.45$	5.25 ±	2.51 ±	1.84 ±
Glomus mosseae		0.13	0.03	0.03
C.D. p. 95		0.18	0.07	0.02

*Mean = $n \pm 1$ S.D; F test significant at 0.05 level of probability

Furthermore, plants inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi recorded significantly higher plant height, shoot and root fresh weight as compared to control

led definite stimulation of root and shoot plants. These observations are in accorand al. (1998) also reported higher shoot length, pagated plum inoculated with arbuscular

> In the present study, inoculated plants also recorded significantly higher shoot and root dry weight as compared to control plants. These observations support the earlier findings of Declrek et al. (1995) where they reported increased shoot dry weight in mycorrhizal banana plant (Musa acuminata AAA) over the un-inoculated controls in a green house experiment.

> Many fungal species are able to form arbuscular mycorrhizae on the same host but they vary in their efficiency in increasing plant growth (Plenchette et al., 1983). These differences may depend on genetically controlled physiological characters of the fungus which play a role in the uptake of nutrients from the soil and in their transfer to the host root cells such as extraradical mycelium (Abbot & Robson, 1977). In the present study, Glomus mosseae was the most effective species in stimulating growth of micropropagated banana as compared to Glomus fasciculatum. Similarly, Kiernan et al. (1984) reported that micropropagated strawberry plants inoculated with Glomus mosseae exhibited better growth as compared to those with Glomus constrictum or Glomus epigaeum. Declerck et al. (1995) also

Khade & Rodrigues

reported that Glomus resulted in highest relative mycorrhizal for micropropagated banana grown sterilized media. In another glass house Government that Glomus mosseae and Glomus occultum plantlets. significantly increased the leaf area of micropropagated grapevine at all levels of P-fertilization as compared to Glomus versiforme in sterilized medium.

In the present study, the plants were inoculated in sterilized substrate and at the end of two months, they were transplanted to the unsterile media containing indigenous population of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Thus, our studies supports the earlier findings that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can be introduced into soils having considerable population of indigenous mycorrhizal fungi and can stimulate much more plant growth than indigenous fungi (Mosse, 1977).

Our study confirms the contention that inoculation of micropropagated banana plants with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is beneficial if appropriate species and soil conditions are employed and that the knowledge of the best conditions for growth and activity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is of paramount importance if these organisms are to be commercially exploited.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is portion of research work carried out by Dr. Sharda W. Khade for her Masters Degree. Prof. Bagyaraj, Department of Microbiology, UAS, G.K.V.K

macrocarpum Campus, Bangalore is thanked profusely providing cultures of arbuscular dependency as compared to other species in mycorrhizal fungi. Shri Waman M. Khade, on Ex-Director of Agriculture Department, of Goa is thanked for experiment, Schubert et al. (1990) reported providing the micropropagated banana

REFERENCES

- ABBOTT, L.K. & A. D. ROBSON 1977. Growth stimulation of subterranean clover with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza. Australian Journal Agriculture Research 28: 639-649.
- BRANZANTI, B., V.GIANINAZZ-PERASON & S. GIANINAZZI 1992. Influence of phosphate fertilization on the growth and nutrient status of micro-propagated apple infected with endomycorrhizal fungi during the weaning stage. Agronomie 12: 841-846.
- DECLERCK, S., C. PLENCHETTE & D. STRULLU 1995. Mycorrhizal dependency of banana (Musa acuminata, AAA group) cultivar. Plant & Soil 176:183-187.
- FORTUNA, P., S. MORINI & M. GIOVANNETTI 1998. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on in vivo root initiation and development of micro-propagated plum shoots. Journal Horticultural Science Biotechnology æ 73(1):19-28.
- KIERNAN, J.M., J.W. HENDRIX, C.P. STOLZ & D.M. MARONEK 1984. Characterization of strawberry plants produced by tissue culture and infected with specific mycorrhizal fungi. Hort-Science 19: 883-885.
- MOSSE, B. 1977. Plant growth response to VAM X. Response to styloxanthus and maize to inoculation in unsterile soil New phytologist 78: 277-289.
- RAVOLANIRINA, F., S. GIANIAZZI, A. TROU-VELOT & M. CARRE 1989. Production of endomycorhizal explants of micropropagated

grapevine root-stocks. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 29: 323-327.

- SCHÜBERT, A., C. BODRINO & I. GRIBAUDO 1992. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) micropropagation plants. *Agronomie* 12:847-850.
- SIVAPRASAD, P., B. RAMESH., N. MOHAN-KUMAR, K. RAJMOHAN & P.J. JOSEPH 1995. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae for ex-vitro establishment of tissue cultured

plantlets. In. Mycorrhizae Biofertilizers for Future. (Eds.) Adholeya, A & S. Singh, TERI publications, New Delhi 195-198.

VESTBERG, M. & V. ESTAÚN 1994. Micropropogated plants, an opportunity to positively manage mycorrhizal activities. In. Gianiazzi, S. & H. Schüepp (Eds.) Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizas on Susta-inable Agriculture and Natural Ecosystems. 217 -226. Birkhäuser, Basel.