Sodium paramolybdate revisited
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The term paramolybdate refers to the species of formula \([\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]^{6-}\). A convenient method for the rational synthesis of a sodium paramolybdate namely hexasodium heptamolybdate(VI) 14-hydrate \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and its spectral and thermal characterization are reported. Cyclic voltammetric and conductivity studies of an aqueous solution of \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\) reveal the presence of hydrated \(\text{Na}^+\) ions and heptamolybdate anions in solution unlike a sodium coordinated heptamolybdate in the solid state.
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The ammonium and sodium salts of molybdic acid have been the subject of several investigations in older literature\(^{1-4}\) which were performed to determine the compound composition in terms of ammonium (or sodium):molybdenum:water content. In a very early study Zenker\(^2\) proposed a Na:Mo ratio of 4:9 for a sodium compound of molybdic acid. Although the correct ratio of 6:7 for X:Mo (X = Na or NH\(_4\)) was identified in 1865 by Delafontaine\(^3\), Rosenheim\(^4\) proposed a composition of 5:6 for X:Mo (X = Na or NH\(_4\)) in 1917. Based on a measurement of the lattice constants, the correct composition as well as the formula \((\text{NH}_4)_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (I) was determined by Sturdivant\(^5\) for ammonium paramolybdate. This formula was later unambiguously confirmed by Lindqvist\(^6,7\) with the aid of a chemical analysis\(^6\) and single crystal structure determination\(^7\) showing that the \([\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]^{6-}\) ion is made up of edge sharing MoO\(_6\) octahedra. A single crystal X-ray study of potassium paramolybdate by Gatehouse and Everett\(^8\) showed \(\text{K}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) to be isostructural with (I), demonstrating the importance of the Lindqvist structure type viz. edge sharing MoO\(_6\) octahedra in Mo chemistry.

The term ‘paramolybdate’ refers to the species of formula \([\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]^{6-}\) and was more in use in older literature. The \([\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]^{6-}\) unit is known as heptamolybdate, a terminology which is currently used instead of the traditional name, paramolybdate. Interestingly, ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (1) is also referred to as ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate\(^9\) and is marketed under this name by several chemical companies\(^10\). In contrast, the term sodium molybdate dihydrate does not refer to any heptamolybdate of sodium but to a monomeric Mo compound viz. \(\text{Na}_3[\text{MoO}_4]\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) which is also commercially available. Based on a chemical analysis of sodium paramolybdate, Lindqvist\(^6\) proposed the formula \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot22\text{H}_2\text{O}\) showing that it is a water rich compound unlike ammonium paramolybdate which is a tetrahydrate. However, a single crystal X-ray study of sodium paramolybdate by Sjobom and Hedman\(^11\) in 1973 proved its formula to be \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (2) with the structure containing a Lindqvist type \([\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]^{6-}\) unit and coordination to Na. In addition, a monoclinic unit cell for another crystalline phase of sodium paramolybdate \(\text{Na}_9[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot\text{XH}_2\text{O}\) \((X=21-23)\) was also reported in this work. Although papers describing structures of sodium rich\(^12\) and sodium deficient\(^13\) heptamolybdates, and heptamolybdates charge balanced by a combination of sodium organic cations\(^14-16\) (Supplementary data, Table S1) have appeared in the literature, no report is available on a sodium paramolybdate with ~22\text{H}_2\text{O} other than the works of Delafontaine\(^4\) and Lindqvist\(^6\) and the unit cell data\(^10\) reported in 1973. A redetermination of the structure of hexasodium heptamolybdate(VI) 14-hydrate \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (2) by Hao \textit{et al}.\(^17\) not only reports the location of the H atoms of the water molecules but also confirms the original findings of Sjobom and Hedman\(^11\). For the structure redetermination, Hao \textit{et al}.\(^17\) synthesized \(\text{Na}_6[\text{MoO}_2\text{O}_4]\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O}\) by a hydrothermal method which involves heating at 160 °C for three days a mixture of 2,4'-biphenyldicarboxylic acid, 2-pyridylpyrazole, \(\text{Na}_3[\text{MoO}_4]\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\), and \(\text{CuSO}_4\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}\) in water. Interestingly, the product of the hydrothermal reaction does not contain any carboxylic acid or pyrazole or copper but is a sodium paramolybdate tetradecahydrate. In view of our interest in the chemistry of alkali metal heptamolybdates\(^18\) and a very unusual methodology requiring many reagents, some of which are costly, for synthesis of a
sodium heptamolybdate, we have undertaken this study in search of a rational synthetic procedure for the facile synthesis of hexasodium heptamolybdate(VI) 14-hydrate (2). The details of this investigation are described in this report.

**Experimental**

All the chemicals used in this study were of reagent grade and were used as received from commercial sources without any further purification. Infrared (IR) spectra of the solid samples diluted with KBr were recorded on a Shimadzu (IR Prestige-21) FT-IR spectrometer from 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\) at a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). Raman spectra were recorded using an Agiltron PeakSeeker Pro Raman instrument in the range 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\) by employing a 785 nm laser radiation for excitation. UV-visible spectra were recorded in water using a Shimadzu UV-2450 double beam spectrophotometer with matched quartz cells. Isothermal weight loss studies were performed in a temperature-controlled electric furnace. TG-DTA study was performed in oxygen atmosphere in Al crucibles at a heating rate of 10 K min\(^{-1}\) using a STA-409 PC simultaneous thermal analyser from Netzsch. X-ray powder patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Miniflex II powder diffractometer using Cu-K\(\alpha\) radiation with Ni filter. Conductivity measurements were carried out at room temperature using Digital conductivity meter model-LT-16 LABTRONICS with a standard conductometric cell composed of two platinum black electrodes calibrated with KCl solution. Cyclic voltammetry was performed in Electrochemical Workstation-CH Instrument (Inc. CHI6107), under inert atmosphere by using platinum as the working electrode, platinum wire as the counter electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference. The redox properties of aqueous solutions of ammonium and sodium paramolybdates (1 and 2) were studied using 0.2 M KCl solution as the supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.03 V s\(^{-1}\).

For the synthesis of (1), commercial molybdic acid (H\(_2\)MoO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O) was dissolved in excess ammonia and the clear ammoniacal solution was left for complete evaporation at room temperature to obtain ammonium paramolybdate tetrahydrate (I) in near quantitative yield. An alternate procedure based on Sturdivant’s method\(^5\) which involves the use of cooling of a saturated solution of commercial ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (Thomas Baker) in liquor ammonia, also afforded ammonium paramolybdate.

IR data: 3683-2500 (br), 1648,1407 (\(\delta_{\text{N-H}}\)), 899 (\(\nu_2\)), 841, 653, 581, 481 cm\(^{-1}\); Raman data: 934 (\(\nu_1\)), 884, cm\(^{-1}\); UV-Vis data: 209 nm. Molar conductivity (\(\lambda_m\)) (0.02 M): 525 S cm\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\).

Ammonium paramolybdate prepared as above (4.94 g, 4 mmol) or commercial ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate was taken in a silica crucible and heated to 650 °C in a furnace for 2 h. The residue thus obtained was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a beaker and sodium hydroxide (0.96 g, 24 mmol) in water (100 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred well and heated on a water bath to get a clear solution. The pH of the clear solution thus obtained was 5.40. Addition of alcohol (~40 mL) afforded sodium paramolybdate (2) in 5.5 g (95%) yield. Alternatively a solid mixture of (1) and sodium carbonate was crushed well and the fine powder was heated at 500 °C to obtain a residue. The dissolution of this residue in water to obtain a clear solution followed by addition of alcohol also afforded (2). A modification of the reported procedure\(^1\), viz., acidification of an aqueous sodium molybdate solution with dilute HCl to pH of ~5.5 instead of HClO\(_4\) and allowing the reaction mixture undisturbed for many days resulted in the formation of (2) in moderate yields.

IR (cm\(^{-1}\)) : 3482 (vs), 3287, 1654, 885, 654, 568, 483; Raman (cm\(^{-1}\)) : 934 (\(\nu_1\)), 884 cm\(^{-1}\); UV-Vis: 209 nm. Molar conductivity (\(\lambda_m\)) (0.02 M): 560 S cm\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\).

**Results and discussion**

The dissolution of commercial molybdic acid in excess ammonia followed by slow evaporation at RT afforded in excellent yields ammonium paramolybdate (1), which can also be obtained by Sturdivant’s method. It is interesting to note that use of excess ammonia does not afford isolation of any (NH\(_4\))\(_3\)[MoO\(_4\)] viz. ammonium orthomolybdate. The IR spectra of both the above mentioned products are identical, which in turn are identical with the spectrum of the commercial ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate showing that it is (NH\(_4\))\(_3\)[MoO\(_4\)]·4H\(_2\)O (1) and is available in a state of very high purity. In contrast, the dissolution of commercial molybdic acid in excess NaOH followed by crystallization afforded the monomeric Na\(_5\)[MoO\(_4\)]·2H\(_2\)O but not any sodium paramolybdate.

In view of the above, an alternate synthetic route involving a base promoted cation exchange reaction\(^1\)
of ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (1) with aqueous NaOH in 1:6 mole ratio was attempted for synthesis of (2). However this reaction did not yield the expected hexasodium product as evidenced by the presence of ammonium ions in the product\textsuperscript{19} and also characteristic infrared signals for N-H vibrations (Supplementary data, Fig. S1). In view of the difficulty to obtain sodium heptamolybdate devoid of ammonium by the base-promoted cation exchange process, a two step reaction as shown below was envisaged to get rid of the ammonium interference.

\[
\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_6\text{[Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\text{]}\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 7 \text{MoO}_3 \quad \text{(Step 1)}
\]

\[
7 \text{MoO}_3 + 6 \text{NaOH} \rightarrow 2 \quad \text{(Step 2)}
\]

In the first step, commercial ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (1) was thermally decomposed to obtain the trioxide MoO\textsubscript{3}. The formation of a trioxide residue free of ammonia was revealed by a mass loss of 18.79 (expected 18.46). The freshly prepared trioxide thus obtained was then reacted with an aqueous solution of NaOH maintaining a Mo:Na ratio of 7:6 to obtain a clear solution whose pH (~5.4) is acidic (step 2). Addition of alcohol into this precipitated the desired sodium paramolybdate (2) free of ammonium impurities, in good yields. In this synthetic methodology, the second step is amenable to changes viz. the residue obtained by the thermal decomposition of (1) can also be reacted with other basic sodium reagents like sodium carbonate (or sodium bicarbonate) in 1:3 (or 1:6) mole ratio instead of aqueous NaOH to obtain the same product in good yields. The formation of the same product irrespective of the sodium reagent used was revealed by the identical infrared and Raman spectra and X-ray powder patterns (Supplementary data, Fig. S2-S4) exhibited by the products in all the cases. Further, the powder pattern was in good agreement with the theoretically calculated pattern for Na\textsubscript{6}[Mo\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{24}]·14H\textsubscript{2}O. Pyrolysis of a mixture of (1) and Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} in 1:3 ratio at 500°C followed by dissolution of the residue in water also afforded the same product. The same product was also isolated by acidification of an aqueous solution of commercial Na\textsubscript{6}[Mo\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{24}]·2H\textsubscript{2}O with dilute HCl.

The presence of the heptamolybdate chromophore in (2) was evidenced from the optical spectrum of its aqueous solution which is identical to that of the UV-vis spectrum of (1) with an absorption maximum at 209 nm (Supplementary data, Fig. S5). Thermal analysis of (2) performed on a water bath at 100 °C showed a mass loss of 17.26%, (expected 17.45%), indicating that the degree of hydration is 14. The TG-DTA study of (2) was in accordance with this mass loss, as evidenced by an endothermic event at 97°C in its DTA curve (Fig. 1) accompanied by a weight loss (16.32%) assignable for the loss of fourteen water molecules. The endothermic signals at ~285 and 538°C can be attributed to phase transitions yielding a mixed oxide residue. This result gains credence from a pyrolysis experiment of 2 performed at 600°C in a furnace with an observed mass loss of 17.6 % in excellent agreement with the calculated mass loss (17.42) for a probable residual composition 7MoO\textsubscript{3}:3Na\textsubscript{2}O. The IR spectrum reveals that the residue is devoid of all water (Supplementary data, Fig. S6).

All our efforts to prepare a differently hydrated sodium paramolybdate containing 22 moles of water were not fruitful. The different synthetic routes described earlier as well as use of different bases viz. NaOH, Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} or NaHCO\textsubscript{3} for dissolution of the freshly prepared MoO\textsubscript{3} afforded only the tetradecahydrate (14H\textsubscript{2}O) product and no other sodium paramolybdate with a higher degree of hydration. In all the cases, the formation of the 14-hydrate was confirmed by the results of a thermal analysis of the different products at 100 °C all of which showed a mass loss assignable for the loss of fourteen moles of water.

In order to understand the photochemical properties of 2, the pristine solid (or its aqueous solution) was irradiated by sunlight or by focusing the visible light emitted from a tungsten filament bulb (60 W) of a table lamp. However, no photochemically induced changes were observed, indicating that

\[
\text{Fig. 1—TG-DTA curves of Na}_6\text{[Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\text{]}\cdot14\text{H}_2\text{O (2).}
\]
the all-sodium compound (2) exhibits very similar photochemical behavior to the recently reported lithium-heptamolybdates, unlike the heptamolybdates charge balanced by organic cations which can be reduced photochemically.

It is interesting to note that the vibrational spectra of the paramolybdates 1 and 2 exhibit similarities and differences. The profiles of the IR spectra in the high energy region (3500-2500 cm\(^{-1}\)) are very different (Fig. 2). Compound 1 exhibits a broad and strong absorption signal centered at 3170 cm\(^{-1}\), attributable to the stretching vibrations of –OH and –NH moieties of the water molecules and ammonium cations respectively. Due to the absence of –NH vibrations in the all sodium heptamolybdate 2, the strong band centered at ~3482 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned for the stretching vibration (\(\nu_{\text{OH}}\)) of the water molecule. A noticeable difference is the appearance of a strong signal at 1407 cm\(^{-1}\), assignable for the bending vibration (\(\delta_{\text{NH}}\)) of the ammonium ion of 1, which is conspicuous by its absence in 2. In the lower energy region below 1000 cm\(^{-1}\), both compounds exhibit nearly similar features. The doubly degenerate asymmetric stretching mode \(\nu_2\) of the MoO\(_6\) unit occurs as an intense signal at 899 and 885 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively in 1 and 2 in the IR spectrum and as a weak band in Raman spectrum (Supplementary data, Fig. S7). Both compounds exhibit nearly identical Raman spectra with strong bands observed below 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) (Supplementary data, Fig. S8). The symmetric stretching vibration \(\nu_1\) of the MoO\(_6\) unit is observed as an intense band at 934 cm\(^{-1}\) in both 1 and 2.

Conductivity measurements for various concentrations of 1 and 2 (Table 1) show an increased molar conductivity with dilution which can be attributed to the facile dissociation of 1 (or 2) in solution to produce hydrated NH\(_4^+\) (or Na\(^+\)) and heptamolybate ions. The solid state structure of 1 consists of isolated Lindqvist type [Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]\(^6-\) ion, ammonium ions and lattice water while in 2 the Lindqvist type [Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]\(^6-\) ion is coordinated to the unique sodium ions (Supplementary data, Fig. S9). In spite of this structural difference, the observed molar conductivity values of 525 and 560 S cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) for 1 and 2 in 0.02 M solution are very close indicating that in solution similar species are present. The conductivity data can be explained due to the hydration of Na\(^+\) ions in dilute solution, because of which the Na\(^+\) ions are no longer coordinated to heptamolybdate. Thus the solution behavior of 2 resulting in the formation of hydrated alkali metal ions and free heptamolybate ions is very similar to that observed for the recently reported lithium-heptamolybdates.

The cyclic voltammograms of 1 and 2 exhibit a single cathodic response below -1.0 V (Fig. 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (M)</th>
<th>Conductivity (K, S cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Molar conductivity ((\lambda_m), S cm(^{-1}) mol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Specific conductivity (K) and molar conductivity (\(\lambda_m\)) data of (NH\(_4\))\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·4H\(_2\)O (1) and Na\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·14H\(_2\)O (2)

Fig. 2—Infrared spectra of (NH\(_4\))\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·4H\(_2\)O (1) and Na\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·14H\(_2\)O (2).

Fig. 3—Cyclic voltammograms of (NH\(_4\))\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·4H\(_2\)O (1) and Na\(_6\)[Mo\(_6\)O\(_{24}\)]·14H\(_2\)O (2).
with $E_{1/2}$ values -0.538 and -0.605 V measured versus SCE respectively. The $E_{1/2}$ of (2) is at a slightly more negative potential compared to the $E_{1/2}$ values of -0.579 and -0.537 V respectively for the lithium heptamolybdates\(^\text{18}\) \((\text{NH}_4)_4[\text{Li}_2\text{(H}_2\text{O})_7]\text{[Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \((\text{NH}_4)_3[\text{Li}_3\text{(H}_2\text{O})_4\text{H}_6\text{-Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\). The electrochemical event in (2) can be attributed to a reduction of the anionic heptamolybdate, which is formed due to hydrolysis of (2), in addition to the hydrated Na\(^+\) ions. It is interesting to note that the all-sodium heptamolybdate \(^\text{2}\) differs from the mixed cationic \((\text{hmtH})_2\{\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O})_5\}_2\{\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\}\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}\) \((\text{hmt} = \text{hexa-methylenetetramine})\)\(^\text{22}\) in that the latter compound exhibits the same electrochemical event at -0.780 V. However no other electrode process is observed at higher negative potentials for (2), indicating that the hydrated Na\(^+\) cations do not undergo any electrochemical change.

In summary, we have described a convenient and rapid synthesis of sodium paramolybdate 14-hydrate (2) using the commercially available ammonium molybdate heptahydrate (1) as an useful precursor, and studied its spectral, thermal and electrochemical properties.
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