Plant-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal interaction: Insight into sustainable agroecosystems

B.F. Rodrigues

Department of Botany, Goa University, Goa 403 206, India Corresponding author Email: felinov@gmail.com

Sustainable agriculture is based on the understanding of ecosystem services. It includes environment-friendly methods of farming that allow the production of crops or livestock without damaging human or natural systems. Among the micro-organisms, the arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi play a vital role in increasing the crop yield without compromising the soil fertility and thus assist in sustainable agriculture. As President of the Mycological Society of India (MSI), I express my deep sense of gratitude to the esteemed members of MSI for their continued efforts over the years to promote the growth of mycology in our country and raise our Society to greater heights in the coming years.

This review focuses on plant-AM fungal interaction as well as provides an insight into sustainable agroecosystems. The rhizosphere microbiome comprises functionally diverse microorganisms ranging from plant pathogens to mutualists. Among the latter are AM fungi, which are considered the most prominent examples of interactions between plants and microorganisms. This plant-AM fungal interaction significantly affects the competence of the host plant's roots to absorb several nutrients, especially phosphorus (P), from the soil. Production and application of AM fungal inoculum are most easily recognized as an AM fungal technology. However, there is a need to determine the mechanism of plant-AM fungal interaction within given socio-economic constraints for the sustainable functioning of agroecosystems.



Professor B.F. Rodrigues President, Mycological Society of India (2021)

INTRODUCTION

Recent research has focused more on understanding and interpreting the function and composition of microbiomes between plants and soil. The term "plant microbiome" refers to plants' association with various microorganisms that play a vital role in the niches inhabited. These microorganisms are found in the endosphere occupying the inner tissues of the host plant's roots. While the phyllosphere occupies the outer plant surfaces, such as stems, leaves, flowers, and fruits, the rhizosphere occupies the soil surrounding the host plant's roots (Thomas et al., 2022). These microorganisms dwelling in the different niches of the host plant are involved in its ecology and physiology. They are known to significantly increase the soil nutrient availability to the host plant and increase its resistance to stress. These microorganisms living either in the endosphere or phyllosphere between plants and soil are predominantly bacteria and fungi (Berendsen et al., 2012). Interestingly, the rhizosphere microbiome associated with plant roots has received inimitable attention in recent years due to its chief role in the host plant's growth, nutrition, immunity, development, and productivity. Prospective studies of the rhizosphere microbiome have been coupled with the need for more sustainable production for agroecosystems (Thomas et al., 2022).

Rhizosphere microbiome

The rhizosphere microbiome is diverse with a wide range of microorganisms, including bacteria, oomycetes, fungi, algae, nematodes, viruses, protozoa, and archaea (Bonkowski *et al.*, 2009). The soil mycobiome, particularly in itself, contains functionally varied fungi, many of which are plant pathogens that reduce plant performance (Nilsson *et al.*, 2019). On the other side, mutualistic fungal taxa such as AM fungi are notable examples of interactions between plants and microbiota (Koide and Mosse, 2004; Jiang *et al.*, 2020). Plant-microbe interactions significantly impact plant

functioning and plant community ecology (Moënne-Loccoz *et al.*, 2015). It is assumed that fungi are the most effective soil microorganisms involved in soil structure stabilization (Foster, 1994). AM fungi often comprise an extensive soil microbiome (Hayman, 1978). This plant-AM fungal interaction significantly affects the competence of the host plant's roots to absorb several nutrients from the soil.

Plant-arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal interaction

Rhizosphere communities are affluent in AM fungi. AM fungi are ubiquitous soil fungi that form a symbiotic association with plant roots (Smith and Read, 2008). Belonging to the phylum Glomeromycota, these fungi are a monophyletic lineage of obligate mycobionts with tight regulation of carbon for nutrients exchange between the host and the fungus (Schüßler et al., 2001; Rillig et al., 2016). As the phylum is an ancient form of symbiosis in plants, about 90% of extant plant species are mycorrhizal (Moënne-Loccoz et al., 2015). The fungus penetrates plant root cell walls and develops intraradical structures (hyphae, arbuscules, vesicles) in the cortical cells of the host root and extra-radical structures (hyphae, spores) in soil. A bidirectional nutrient flux characterizes this mutualistic association wherein the mycobiont helps the phytobiont in the acquisition of soil nutrients (mainly P.) while the phytobiont provides photoassimilates (carbon sources) to the mycobiont (Buscot et al., 2000; Brundrett, 2009).

AM fungi being vital components at the soil-root interface, their extra-radical hyphae and plant root hairs increase the soil-root contact area (Geelhoed *et al.*, 1997b). Therefore, AM fungi enhance the plant nutrient availability, particularly P, due to the presence of a large interface for P acquisition via an extensive mycorrhizal extra-radical mycelium network (Wang *et al.*, 2017). AM fungi also improve plant nutrient uptake of calcium (Ca) (Azcón and Barea, 1992), iron (Fe)

(Treeby, 1992), manganese (Mn) (Kothari et al., 1991), zinc (Zn) (Bell et al., 1989), and nitrogen (N) (Näsholm et al., 2009). AM fungi are most beneficial in improving plant nutrient acquisition in low-fertility soils (Brundrett, 2009). It is assumed that they can serve as a substitute for reduced fertilizer input (Galvez et al., 2001), thereby leading to sustainable agriculture. Plant benefit other than nutritional attributed by AM fungi includes enhanced plant tolerance to biotic stress (pathogenic infection, herbivory) and abiotic stress (drought, metal pollution, salinity) (Augé, 2004; Al-Karaki, 2006; Bennett and Bever, 2007); Improved rooting of micro-propagated plantlets (Strullu, 1985) resulting in an overall increase in plant growth and development; Improved nutrient cycling, energy flow and plant establishment in disturbed ecosystems (Tiwari and Sati, 2008); Enhanced diversity of plant community. AM fungi through their extensive mycelial network interconnect a number of unrelated individual plant species consequently impacting the function and biodiversity of entire ecosystem (Smith et al., 1997; Bonfante and Genre, 2010). Secretion of hydrophobic 'sticky' proteinaceous substance known as 'glomalin' by the AM fungal hyphae in the soil also results in improved soil stability, binding, and water retention thereby reducing soil erosion (Rillig et al., 2002; Rillig and Mummey, 2006; Bedini et al., 2009); Influencing microbial and chemical environment of the mycorrhizosphere (plant root-associated microbial communities especially mycorrhizae present in the rhizosphere) to contribute in plant nutrient acquisition (Azcón-Aguilar and Barea, 2015); more precisely the hyphosphere, the zone surrounding individual hyphae (Johansson et al., 2004); Alleviating metal toxicity to plants by reducing metal translocation from root to shoot (Leyval et al., 1997) and thus contribute in revegetation and restoration of disturbed or contaminated lands; and, Not only alter a plant's response to dynamic environmental fluctuations, but also modulate their metabolome through different pathways, affecting biosynthesis of phytochemicals that are vital for human health (Sbrana et al., 2014). Thus, AM fungi also contribute to the earth's ecosystem services (Gianinazzi et al., 2010).

Potential role of plant-arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal interaction in sustainable agroecosystems

The integral significance of soil life in agroecosystem sustainability, including plant-symbiotic associations, is increasingly appreciated (Mäder et al., 2002; Wagg et al., 2014; Bender et al., 2016). Among these plant-symbiotic associations, a prominent player is arbuscular mycorrhiza, the predominant symbiotic association of fungi with plant roots (Smith and Read, 2008). The role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in agroecosystems is well known (Rillig et al., 2016). The AM fungal association has been much considered in the context of agroecosystem sustainability, (i) because AM is the most common and dominant type of mycorrhiza formed by most crop plants (exception of Brassicaceae members); (ii) because of the potentially beneficial, multi-functional role of AM fungi in plant nutrition, pathogen protection, stress tolerance and soil structure maintenance (Hamel, 1996; Smith and Read, 2008; Gianinazzi et al., 2010; Leifheit et al., 2014); (iii) because many agricultural practices (e.g., tillage, fertilization, non-host crops) tend to negatively affect AM

fungal abundance and diversity, thus possibly affecting their functioning; and (iv) because AM fungi can be managed (Rillig *et al.*, 2016).

Although native AM fungi have been demonstrated to be equally or even better performing than commercial isolates, most market inocula are composed of ubiquitous species in nearly all soils in the world (Smith and Read, 2008). Among 340 AM fungal species described so far, most commercial inocula in agriculture contain Rhizophagus, Glomus, and Funneliformis species because these genera are most prevalent in soils found in all climate zones (Smith and Read, 2008). AM fungal inocula can be effectively produced starting from indigenous soils, making the AM fungal technology more profitable for farmers and more ecologically friendly and supportive for natural biodiversity (Berruti et al., 2016). Moreover, inoculation with AM fungal consortia, which co-evolves in local ecological niches, is more dynamic and sustaining than inoculation with a single species (Sharma et al., 2017). Using a high-quality inoculum containing a combination of species with a high number of infective propagules dedicated to a particular host and growing conditions enable successful root colonization (Rouphael et al., 2015). The most easily recognized AM fungal technology is the production and application of AM fungal inoculum directly addressing the decline of AM fungal abundance in agricultural fields (Gianinazzi et al., 2002; Vosatka et al., 2012; Solaiman et al., 2014). However, this should not be the exclusive focus of AM fungal technology. The local AM fungal abundance and diversity should be optimized in yield and sustainability of ecosystem processes within given socioeconomic limitations (Rillig et al., 2016).

In conclusion, we need to ascertain the mechanism of plant-AM fungal interaction within given socio-economic conditions of the agroecosystem by assessment of indigenous AM fungal abundance, diversity, and functioning in the field, by proper management of agronomic practices with known effects on AM fungi, by promoting mycorrhizal fungi and their associated microbiota with desirable traits to develop the appropriate AM fungal technology for attaining sustainable functioning of agroecosystems.

REFERENCES

- Al-Karaki, G.N. 2006. Nursery inoculation of tomato with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequent performance under irrigation with saline water. *Scientia Hort.* **109:** 1-7.
- Augé, R.M. 2004. Arbuscular mycorrhizae and soil/plant water relations. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* **84:** 373-381; doi: 10.4141/S04-002.
- Azcón, R. and Barea, J.M. 1992. The effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in decreasing Ca acquisition by alfalfa plants in calcareous soils. *Biol. Fert. Soils* **13**: 155-159; doi: 10.1007/BF00336272.
- Azcón-Aguilar, C. and Barea, J.M. 2015. Nutrient cycling in the mycorrhizosphere. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **25 (2)**: 372-396.
- Bedini, S., Pellegrino, E., Avio, L., Pellegrini, S., Bazzoffi, P.,

- Argese, E. and Giovannetti, M. 2009. Changes in soil aggregation and glomalin related soil protein content as affected by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus intraradices*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41 (7): 1491-1496.
- Bell, M.J., Middleton, K.J. and Thompson, J.P. 1989. Effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae on growth and phosphorus and zinc nutrition of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in an Oxisol from subtropical Australia. *Plant Soil.* 117: 49-57.
- Bender, S.F., Wagg, C. and van der Heijden, M.G.A. 2016. An underground revolution: biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **31**: 440-452; doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.016.
- Bennett, A.E. and Bever, J.D. 2007. Mycorrhizal species differentially alter plant growth and response to herbivory. *Ecology* **88**: 210-218.
- Berendsen, R.L., Pieterse, C.M.J. and Bakker, P.A.H.M. 2012. The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends Plant Sci.* **17(8)**: 478-486; doi: 10.1016/j.t plants.2012.04.001.
- Berruti, A., Lumini, E., Balestrini, R. and Bianciotto, V. 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as natural biofertilizers: let's benefit from past successes. *Front. Microbiol.* **6:** 1559; doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2015.01559.
- Bonfante, P. and Genre, A. 2010. Mechanisms underlying beneficial plant-fungus interactions in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nat. Commun.* 1: 48; doi: 10.1038/ncomms1046.
- Bonkowski, M., Villenave, C. and Griffiths, B. 2009. Rhizosphere fauna: the functional and structural diversity of intimate interactions of soil fauna with plant roots. *Plant Soil* **321**: 213-233; doi: 10.1007/s 11104-009-0013-2.
- Brundrett, M.C. 2009. Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant Soil* **320**: 37-77; doi: 10.1007/s11104-008-9877-9.
- Buscot, F., Munch, J.C., Charcosset, J.Y., Gardes, M., Nehls, U. and Hampp, R. 2000. Recent advances in exploring physiology and biodiversity of ectomycorrhizas highlight the functioning of these symbioses in ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 24: 601-614; doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2000.tb00 561.x.
- Foster, R.C. 1994. Micro-organisms and Soil Aggregates. In: *Soil Biota: Management in Sustainable Farming Systems* (Eds.: Pankhurst, C.E., Doube, B.M., Gupta, V.V.S.R. and Grace, P.R.). CSIRO, Victoria, Australia, pp. 144-155.
- Galvez, L., Douds, D.D., Drinkwater, L.E. and Wagoner, P.

- 2001. Effect of tillage and farming system upon VAM fungus populations and mycorrhizas and nutrient uptake of maize. *Plant Soil* **228**: 299-308; doi: 10.1023/A:1004810116854.
- Geelhoed, J.S., Mous, S.L.J. and Findenegg, G.R. 1997b. Modeling zero sink nutrient uptake by roots with root hairs from soil: comparison of two models. *Soil Sci.* **162**: 544-553.
- Gianinazzi, S., Gollotte, A., Binet, M.N., van Tuinen, D., Redecker, D. and Wipf, D. 2010. Agroecology: the key role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in ecosystem services. *Mycorrhiza* **20**: 519-530; doi: 10.1007/s00572-010-0333-3.
- Gianinazzi, S., Schüepp, H., Barea, J. M. and Haselwandter, K. 2002. Mycorrhizal Technology in Agriculture from Genes to Bioproducts. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag.
- Hamel, C. 1996. Prospects and problems pertaining to the management of arbuscular mycorrhizae in agriculture. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **60**: 197-210; doi: 10.1016/S0167-8809(96)01071-7.
- Hayman, D.S. 1978. Endomycorrhizas. In: *Interactions between Non-pathogenic Micro-organisms and Plants* (Eds.: Dommergues, Y. and Krupa, S.V.). Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 401-442.
- Jiang, Y., Luan, L., Hu, K., Liu, M., Chen, Z., Geisen, S., Chen, X., Li, H., Xu, Q., Bonkowski, M. and Sun., B. 2020. Trophic interactions as determinants of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community with cascading plant-promoting consequences. *Micro-biome* 8: 142; doi: 10.1186/s40168-020-00918-6.
- Johansson, J.F., Paul, L.R. and Finlay, R.D. 2004. Microbial interactions in the mycorrhizosphere and their significance for sustainable agriculture. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 48: 1-13; doi: 10.1016/j.femsec. 2003.11.012.
- Koide, R.T. and Mosse, B. 2004. A history of research on arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Mycorrhiza* **14(3)**: 145-163; doi: 10.1007/s00572-004-0307-4.
- Kothari, S.K., Marschner, H. and Römheld, V. 1991. Effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and rhizosphere micro-organisms on manganese reduction in the rhizosphere and manganese concentration in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *New Phytol.* 117: 649-655.
- Leifheit, E.F., Veresoglou, S.D., Lehmann, A., Morris, E.K. and Rillig, M.C. 2014. Multiple factors influence the role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil aggregation a meta-analysis. *Plant Soil* **374**: 523-537; doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1899-2.
- Leyval, C., Turnau, K. and Haselwandter, K. 1997. Effect of heavy metal pollution on mycorrhizal colonization and function: physiological, ecological and applied aspects. *Mycorrhiza* 7: 139-153; doi: 10.1007/s005720050174.

- Mäder, P., Fliessbach, A., Dubois, D., Gunst, L., Fried, P. and Niggli, U. 2002. Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Science* **296**: 1694-1697; doi: 10.1126/science.1071148.
- Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Mavingui, P., Combes, C., Normand, P. and Steinberg, C. 2015. Micro-organisms and Biotic Interactions. In: *Environmental Microbiology: Fundamentals and Applications: Microbial Ecology* (Eds.: Bertrand, J.C., Caumette, P., Lebaron, P., Matheron, R., Normand, P. and Sime-Ngando, T.). Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht, pp. 395-444.
- Näsholm, T., Kielland, K. and Ganeteg, U. 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New Phytol.* **182**: 31-48; doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02751.x.
- Nilsson, R.H., Anslan, S., Bahram, M., Wurzbacher, C., Baldrian, P. and Tedersoo, L. 2019. Mycobiome diversity: high-throughput sequencing and identification of fungi. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17: 95-109; doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0116-y.
- Rillig, M.C. and Mummey, D. 2006. Mycorrhizas and soil structure. *New Phytol.* **171**: 41-53; doi: 10.1111/j. 1469-8137.2006.01750.x.
- Rillig, M.C., Sosa-Hernández, M.A., Roy, J., Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A., Vályi, K. and Lehmann, A. 2016. Towards an integrated Mycorrhizal technology: harnessing mycorrhiza for sustainable intensification in agriculture. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7: 16-25; doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01625.
- Rillig, M.C., Wright, S.F., Nichols, K.A., Schmid, W.F. and Torn, M.S. 2002. The role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and glomalin in soil aggregation: Comparing effects of five plant species. *Plant Soil* **238**: 325-333.
- Rouphael, Y., Franken, P., Schneider, C., Schwarz, D., Giovannetti, M., Agnolucci, M., De Pascale, S., Bonini, P. and Colla, G. 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi act as biostimulants in horticultural crops. *Sci. Hortic.* **196**: 91-108; doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.002.
- Sbrana, C., Avio, L. and Giovannetti, M. 2014. Beneficial mycorrhizal symbionts affecting the production of health-promoting phytochemicals. *Electrophoresis* **35**: 1535-1546; doi: 10.1002/elps.201300568.
- Schüßler, A., Schwarzott, D. and Walker, C. 2001. A new fungal phylum, *Glomeromycota*: phylogeny and

- evolution. *Mycol. Res.* **105**: 1413-1421; doi: 10.1017/S0953756201005196.
- Sharma, S., Sharma, A.K., Prasad, R. and Varma, A. 2017. Arbuscular Mycorrhiza: a Tool for Enhancing Crop Production. In: *Mycorrhiza Nutrient Uptake, Biocontrol, Ecorestoration* (Eds.: Varma, A., Prasad, R. and Tuteja, N.). Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 235-250.
- Smith, S.E. and Read, D.J. 2008. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. 3rd edition, New York, London, Burlington, San Diego: Academic Press.
- Smith, S.E., Read, D.J. and Harley, J.L. 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. San Diego (California): Academic Press, 605 p.
- Solaiman, Z., Abbott, L. K. and Varma, A. 2014. *Mycorrhizal Fungi: Use in Sustainable Agriculture and Land Restoration*. Heidelberg: Springer.
- Strullu, D.G. 1985. Les mycorhizes. Handbuch der Pflanzenanatomie. Borntraeger, Berlin, Stuttgart.
- Thomas, A.S.S., Pongprayoon, W., Cheenkachorn, K. and Sriariyanun, M. 2022. Plant-microbe interactions Insights and views for applications in sustainable agriculture. *Appl. Sci. Eng. Prog.* **15 (1):** 52-86; doi: 10.14416/j.asep.2021.07.008.
- Tiwari, M. and Sati, S.C. 2008. *The Mycorrhizae: Diversity, Ecology and Applications*. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, India, 359 p.
- Treeby, M.T. 1992. The role of mycorrhizal fungi and non-mycorrhizal micro-organisms in iron nutrition of citrus. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **24:** 857-864; doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(92)90006-J.
- Vosatka, M., Latr, A., Gianinazzi, S. and Albrechtova, J. 2012. Development of arbuscular mycorrhizal biotechnology and industry: current achievements and bottlenecks. *Symbiosis* **58:** 29-37; doi: 10.1007/s13199-012-0208-9.
- Wagg, C., Bender, S.F., Widmer, F. and van der Heijden, M.G.A. 2014. Soil biodiversity and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111: 5266-5270; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1320054111.
- Wang, W., Shi, J., Xie, Q., Jiang, Y., Yu, N. and Wang, E. 2017. Nutrient exchange and regulation in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Mol. Plant.* **10:** 1147-1158; doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.07.012.