

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungal diversity on stabilized iron ore mine dumps in Goa, India

Tanvi N. Prabhu and B. F. Rodrigues*

Department of Botany, Goa University, Goa 403 206.

*Corresponding author Email: felinov@gmail.com

(Submitted on November 30, 2019; Accepted on December 13, 2019)

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out to explore arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal diversity on stabilized iron ore mine dumps in Goa. A total of 84 plant species belonging to 36 families were examined for the occurrence of AM fungal diversity in two study sites of which 21 plants were common to both sites. All the plants undertaken for study were found to be mycorrhizal. In our study 19 AM fungal species belonging to eight genera, viz. *Acaulospora*, *Funneliformis*, *Gigaspora*, *Glomus*, *Racocetra*, *Rhizophagus*, *Sclerocystis* and *Scutellospora* were recovered. *Acaulospora* was dominant genus at both the sites. Based on Relative abundance (RA) and Isolation frequency (IF), *Gigaspora albida* was found to be dominant at site 1, while *Scutellospora heterogama* was dominant at site 2.

KEYWORDS: restoration; spore density; root colonization; mine dumps.

INTRODUCTION

Land is one of the most essential natural resources on which human beings as well as all other terrestrial biomes depend. While society is being benefitted by the extraction of minerals it also causes significant environmental degradation. Mining disrupts the aesthetics of the landscape along with other soil components and results in the destruction of existing vegetation and soil profile (Kundu and Ghose, 1997). Thus, the mineral extraction process should ensure the return in productivity of the affected mines. The negative impacts of mining can be prevented by stabilizing a reject dump through revegetation (Ghose, 1989). Mined land sites are generally known to be nutrient deficient with low plant growth. Hence there is an urgent need to revegetate the mining sites so that there is establishment of stable nutrient cycles from plant growth and microbial process (Singh *et al.*, 2002).

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are ubiquitous root symbionts colonizing 80% of the terrestrial plants (Wang and Qui, 2006). This symbiotic relationship benefits both the partners; the host plant provides the fungi with carbohydrates and in return receives mineral nutrients especially P, increase in root surface area for absorption of water (Willis *et al.*, 2013), plant field survival (Karthikeyan and Krishnakumar, 2012). They also improve soil structure, soil water relations, plant growth, yield and reduce fertilizer requirement (Finlay, 2008; Gianinazzi *et al.*, 2010; Soka and Ritchie, 2014). Besides they are known to have a crucial role in plant community assembly and succession (Kikvidze *et al.*, 2010).

These fungi as allied colonizers and biofertilizers could provide plants with benefits crucial for ecosystem restoration. It is important to use indigenous AM fungal strains which are best adapted to actual soil and climatic conditions to produce site-specific AM fungus inocula. It has now become necessary to quantify the status of existence of indigenous AMF in degraded ecosystems (Mummey *et al.*, 2002; Khan, 2004). Only few studies are being carried out to explore the diversity of AM fungi on iron ore mine wastelands of Goa (Rodrigues, 2000; Rodrigues and Bukhari, 1995). However, sites undertaken for the present investigation have not been studied previously. The objective of the present study was to assess the indigenous AM fungal diversity of ten year old mine sites in Goa, India.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out at two ten years old stabilized iron ore mines in Pale (North Goa) situated at geographic locations of 15°31'9.13"N Latitude and 74° 2'32.96"E Longitude (Site 1) and 15°29'3.32"N Latitude and 74° 3'35.55"E Longitude (Site 2). The plant species were identified with the help of local and regional floras (Vartak, 1966; Rao, 1985-86; Naithani *et al.*, 1997). Root and rhizosphere soil samples were randomly collected from September 2014 to September 2016.

Three rhizosphere soil samples (0-30cm) were collected for each plant species separately and brought to the laboratory in polyethylene bags. A composite soil sample was prepared by mixing the three soil samples of each plant species. After sieving sample for larger materials and root fragments, each sample was divided into six subsamples. Of these, three subsamples were used for spore extraction and the remaining three were used for soil analysis. Root fragments were used for estimation of AM colonization.

Soil Analyses

Soil pH was measured in soil water suspension (40% w/v) using pH meter (LI120 Elico, India). Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured by using a Conductivity meter (CM-180 Elico, India). Available nitrogen (N) was estimated using the method of Subbiah and Asija, (1956). Available phosphorus (P) was estimated using the method of Bray and Kurtz, (1945). The Hanway and Heidel method (1952) was used to estimate available Potassium (K) using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Nova 400P, Analytik Jena, Germany). Available micronutrients, viz. Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu), Manganese (Mn) and Iron (Fe) were determined using DTPA CaCl₂-TEA method of Lindsay and Norvell, (1978) using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS).

Mycorrhizal colonization

Root samples were hydrolyzed using 10% KOH at 90° C for 2 hours followed by acidification in 5N HCl and staining with 0.05% trypan blue overnight (Phillips and Hayman, 1970). Later, stained roots were observed for colonization by mounting on a glass slide using Polyvinyl alcohol lacto glycerol (PVLG) as mountant. Slides were observed using bright field Olympus BX41 research microscope. The

presence of hyphae, arbuscules and/or vesicles confirmed that the root segment was colonized. Per cent AM root colonization (RC) was calculated using the following formula (Read *et al.*, 1976).

$$\% \text{ Root colonization (RC)} = \frac{\text{Number of root segments colonized}}{\text{Total number of root segments examined}} \times 100$$

Isolation and identification of AM fungal spores

Spores were extracted from the rhizosphere soil subsamples separately for each plant using wet sieving and decanting method (Gerdeemann and Nicolson, 1963). Extracted spores were mounted on glass slides in PVLG and were observed for spore morphology, wall characteristics, and dimensions under a bright field Olympus BX41 research microscope (40x, 100x and 400x).

The identification of AM fungi was carried out by using the relevant bibliographies (Rodrigues and Muthukumar 2009; Blaszkowski, 2012) and International Collection of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (INVAM). Names and epithets of AM fungal species were followed according to the recommendation of Schüßler and Walker (2010) and Redecker *et al.* (2013).

Ecological and statistical data analysis

The ecological characteristics of AM fungal species were estimated using the following indices:

- Relative abundance (RA) = (Number of spores of species/Total number of spores in all soil samples) x 100
- Isolation frequency (IF) = (Number of soil samples containing particular species/Total number of soil samples analysed) x 100
- Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H) = $-\sum(P_i \ln(P_i))$, where P_i is the proportion of individual species that contributes to the total number of individuals (Shannon and Wiener, 1949).
- Simpson's diversity index (D) = $1/(\sum n(n-1)/N(N-1))$, where n is the number of individuals of a given species and N is the total number of individuals in a community (Simpson, 1949).
- Species richness (SR) = total number of species in the community.
- AMF species evenness (E): $\Sigma(H') = H'/H'_{\max}$, where $H'_{\max} = \ln S$, $S = SR$

Pearson coefficient of correlation (r) was calculated to compare the relationship between spore density (SD) and RC, RA and IF and SD and SR using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software.

RESULTS

Physico-chemical properties of lateritic soils of both the sites revealed that the soils were acidic in nature. All the plant macro- and micro-nutrients analysed were in low levels (Table 1).

Table 1: Physico-chemical analyses of mine soils.

Parameter	Study sites	
	Site 1	Site 2
pH	5.45±0.04	5.57±0.19
EC (mS)	0.01±0.12	0.34±0.44
N (g/kg)	0.08±0.04	0.07±0.01
P (g/kg)	0.01±0.00	0.01±0.00
K (g/kg)	0.02±0.00	0.02±0.00
Zn (ppm)	3.25±0.47	3.54±0.18
Cu (ppm)	0.03±0.02	0.01±0.00
Fe (ppm)	21.73±2.67	21.65±1.28
Mn (ppm)	101.77±2.05	78.25±1.63
Ca (ppm)	623.15±6.86	520.36±9.65

Distribution of plant species diversity

A total of 84 plants belonging to 36 families were surveyed from the selected sites. Of these, 21 plants were found to be common to both the sites (Table 2).

AM colonization and spore density

AM fungal colonization was recorded in roots of all sampled plant species from both the sites. Both arbuscular and vesicular colonization was recorded. Maximum RC from both the sites *viz.* site 1 (86.67%) and site 2 (80%) was recorded in *Anacardium occidentale*. The least RC was observed in *Adiantum philippense* (11.65%) at site 1 and in *Casuarina equisetifolia* (25%) at site 2.

The highest SD was recorded in *Lantana camara* (304 spores/100g soil) at site 1 and in *A. Occidentale* (299 spores/100g soil) at site 2. Lowest SD was observed in *A. Philippense* (8 spores/100g soil) at site 1 and in *Pteris pellucida* (8 spores/100g soil) at site 2 (Table 3).

Diversity and Distribution of AM fungi

A total of 19 AM fungal species belonging to eight genera were recorded from both the sites. *Acaulospora* (6) was found to be dominant genus followed by *Gigaspora* (4), *Sclerocystis* (3), *Scutellospora* (2), *Funneliformis* (1), *Glomus* (1), *Racocetra* (1), *Rhizophagus* (1) with species number given in parenthesis. Highest RA (29.72%) and IF (56.46%) were recorded for *Gigaspora albida* at site 1 and highest RA (35.09%) and IF (42.86%) for *Scutellospora heterogama* at site 2.

Least RA (0.15%) and IF (1.36%) was recorded in *Sclerocystis rubiformis* at site 1, while lowest RA (0.13%) and IF (1.19%) was recorded in *Sclerocystis taiwanensis* at site 2 (Table 4). Species richness (17) with species number given in parenthesis was recorded at both the sites.

Pearson's correlation coefficient showed that SD was significantly correlated with RC ($r = 0.499$, $p < 0.01$). However, no correlation was observed between SD and SR ($r = 0.275$). There existed a positive correlation between RA and IF ($r = 0.952$, $p < 0.01$) at site 1. A significant correlation existed between SD and RC ($r = 0.310$, $p < 0.05$), RA and IF ($r = 0.899$, $p < 0.01$) and, SD and SR ($r = 0.547$, $p < 0.01$) on site 2. Shannon-Wiener index (H), Simpson's index of dominance (D), and species evenness were higher on site 1 as compared to site 2 (Table 5).

Table 2: Distribution of plant species recorded on a stabilized dump of both the iron ore mine sites.

Family and Plant species	Habit	Location	Family and Plant species	Habit	Location
Acanthaceae			Fabaceae		
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> (Burm.f.)Nees	Herb	1	<i>Peltophorum pterocarpum</i> (DC.) K.Heyne	Tree	2
<i>Lepidogathis lutea</i> Dalz	Herb	1	<i>Pithocellobium dulce</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	Tree	2
Amaranthaceae			<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (L.) Panigrahi	Tree	1
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	Herb	1	<i>Smithia conferta</i> Sm.	Herb	1,2
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	Herb	1	<i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> (L.) Taub.	Herb	1,2
<i>Celosia argentea</i> L.	Herb	2	<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	Tree	2
Anacardiaceae			Gentianaceae		
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.	Tree	1,2	<i>Canscora diffusa</i> (Vahl) R.Br. ex Roem. & Schult.	Herb	1,2
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	Tree	1,2	Lamiaceae		
Annonaceae			<i>Gmelina arborea</i> Roxb.	Tree	2
<i>Annona squamosa</i> L.	Tree	1,2	<i>Leucas aspera</i> (Willd.) Link	Herb	2
Apocynaceae			Leguminosae		
<i>Allamanda cathartica</i> L.	Shrub	2	<i>Phanera purpurea</i> (L.) Benth.	Tree	2
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i> (L.) R.Br.	Tree	2	Linderniaceae		
<i>Hemidesmus indicus</i> (L.) R.Br.	Twiner	1	<i>Lindernia crustacea</i> (L.) F. Muell.	Herb	1,2
<i>Rauwolfia serpentina</i> (L.) Benth. ex Kurz	Shrub	1	Lythraceae		
Asteraceae			<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	Tree	1
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.) R.M.King & H.Rob.	Herb	1,2	Malvaceae		
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC. ex Wight	Herb	1	<i>Bombax ceiba</i> L.	Tree	2
<i>Senecio bombayensis</i> L.	Herb	1	<i>Gossypium arboreum</i> L.	Shrub	2
<i>Tricholepis glaberrima</i> DC	Herb	1	Meliaceae		
<i>Tridax procumbens</i> L.	Herb	2	<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	Tree	2
Balsaminaceae			Moraceae		
<i>Impatiens balsamina</i> L.	Herb	1	<i>Artocarpus altilis</i> (Parkinson) Fosberg	Tree	2
<i>Impatiens kleinii</i> Wight & Arn.	Herb	1	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	Tree	1,2
Bixaceae			<i>Ficus hispida</i> L.f.	Tree	1
<i>Bixa orellana</i> L.	Shrub	2	Myrtaceae		
Cannabaceae			<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	Tree	2
<i>Trema orientalis</i> (L.) Blume	Tree	1,2	<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.) Skeels	Tree	2
Casuarinaceae			Orbanchaceae		
<i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i> L.	Tree	2	<i>Rhamphicarpa fistulosa</i> (Hochst.) Benth.	Herb	1
Clusiaceae			Phyllanthaceae		
<i>Garcinia indica</i> Choiss.	Tree	2	<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	Tree	2
Colchicaceae			Plantaginaceae		
<i>Gloriosa superba</i> L.	Climber	1	<i>Scoparia dulcis</i> L.	Herb	2
Combretaceae			Poaceae		
<i>Calycopteris floribunda</i> (Roxb.) Lam.ex Poir	Shrub	1,2	<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> (DC.) Stapf	Herb	2
Commelinaceae			<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Herb	2
<i>Commelina diffusa</i> Burm.f.	Herb	2	<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> (L.) Willd.	Herb	1
<i>Murdannia semiteres</i> (Dalzell) Santapau	Herb	1,2	<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koeler	Herb	1
Cyperaceae			<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Herb	1
<i>Cyperus iria</i> L.	Herb	2	<i>Eragrostis uniloides</i> (Retzius) Nees ex Steudel	Herb	1
<i>Cyperus rotandus</i> L.	Herb	2	<i>Panicum notatum</i> Hack	Herb	1
Euphorbiaceae			<i>Panicum</i> sp.	Herb	2
<i>Macaranga peltata</i> Roxb. Mueller	Tree	1,2	<i>Pennisetum hohenseckeri</i> Hochst. ex Steud.	Herb	2
<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	Shrub	2	Pteridaceae		
Fabaceae			<i>Adiantum philippense</i> L.	Herb	1
<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> A.Cunn. ex Benth.	Tree	1,2	<i>Cheilanthes microptera</i> Sw.	Herb	1
<i>Acacia mangium</i> Willd.	Tree	1,2	<i>Pteris pellucida</i> L.	Herb	2
<i>Alysicarpus vaginalis</i> (L.) DC.	Herb	1	Rhamnaceae		
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	Tree	1,2	<i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i> Lam.	Tree	1
<i>Senna siamea</i> (Lam.) Irwin et Barneby	Tree	2	Rutaceae		
<i>Senna tora</i> (L.) Roxb.	Herb	1,2	<i>Citrus limon</i> (L.) Osbeck	Tree	1
<i>Crotalaria filipes</i> Benth.	Herb	1	Sapotaceae		
<i>Crotalaria pallida</i> L.	Herb	1	<i>Manilkara zapota</i> (L.) P.Royen	Tree	1,2
<i>Delonix regia</i> (Boj. ex Hook.) Raf.	Tree	2	<i>Mimusops elengi</i> L.	Tree	2
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp.	Tree	2	Simaroubaceae		
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit	Tree	1,2	<i>Simarouba glauca</i> DC.	Tree	2
<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	Herb	1,2	Verbenaceae		
			<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	Herb	1,2

Legends: 1=Site 1; 2=Site 2

DISCUSSION

The study revealed that the soil of both the mine dumps was acidic in nature with very low levels of plant macro and micro-nutrients. Similar observations were recorded earlier by Rodrigues (2000). Nutrient deficiency is a primary

limiting factor for plant growth on mining impacted sites. Overburdened rejected dumps are known to be deficient in plant macronutrients (Sheoran *et al.*, 2008; 2010).

In this study a total of 19 AM fungal species belonging to 8 genera were recovered from the rhizosphere soils of 84 plant

Table 3: Per cent root colonization and spore density of AM fungi on the selected mine sites.

Family and Plant species	Colonization %		Spore density %	
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 1	Site 2
Acanthaceae				
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> (Burm.f.)Nees	53.33±5.81	-	16.67±1.76	-
<i>Lepidogathis lutea</i> Dalz.	42.67±3.71	-	22.67±5.21	-
Amaranthaceae				
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	55.00±10.41	-	30.33±2.91	-
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	45.00±7.64	-	31.67±2.73	-
<i>Celosia argentea</i> L.	-	64.00±2.52	-	19.67±1.45
Anacardiaceae				
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.	86.67±6.96	80.00±1.15	168.33±3.53	299.33±5.81
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	85.00±2.89	69.33±3.48	49.67±8.97	20.33±4.18
Annonaceae				
<i>Annona squamosa</i> L.	36.67±3.33	64.33±3.48	41.33±7.75	81.33±9.24
Apocynaceae				
<i>Allamanda cathartica</i> L.	-	45.33±3.53	-	22.00±3.06
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i> (L.) R.Br.	-	56.67±4.63	-	108.67±3.48
<i>Hemidesmus indicus</i> (L.) R.Br.	56.67±4.67	-	25.00±3.00	-
<i>Rauvolfia serpentina</i> (L.) Benth. ex Kurz.	35.00±5.00	--	21.00±1.15	-
Asteraceae				
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.) R.M.King & H.Rob.	76.67±4.41	29.33±2.91	14.33±4.48	45.67±1.45
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC. ex Wight	78.00±3.46	-	24.33±2.85	-
<i>Senecio bombayensis</i> L.	52.00±4.62	-	10.33±1.33	-
<i>Tricholepis glaberrima</i> DC.	45.33±4.67	-	11.00±2.08	-
<i>Tridax procumbens</i> L.	-	66.67±4.41	-	31.33±4.06
Balsaminaceae				
<i>Impatiens balsamina</i> L.	68.00±4.62	-	25.67±2.60	-
<i>Impatiens kleinii</i> Wight & Arn.	42.00±7.02	-	25.33±3.71	-
Bixaceae				
<i>Bixa orellana</i> L.	-	35.00±2.89	-	62.33±2.60
Cannabaceae				
<i>Trema orientalis</i> (L.) Blume	80.67±4.06	68.00±6.11	79.33±4.67	90.00±4.62
Casuarinaceae				
<i>Casuarina equisetifolia</i> L.	-	25.00±5.77	-	23.67±4.33
Clusiaceae				
<i>Garcinia indica</i> Choiss.	-	49.33±1.76	-	105.67±5.21
Colchicaceae				
<i>Gloriosa superba</i> L.	18.33±4.41	-	26.33±3.84	-
Combretaceae				
<i>Calycopteris floribunda</i> (Roxb.) Lam.ex Poir	16.65±6.01	53.33±12.02	26.33±3.84	59.00±3.46
Commelinaceae				
<i>Commelina diffusa</i> Burm.f.	-	33.33±6.01	-	22.67±2.40
<i>Murdannia semiteres</i> (Dalzell) Santapau	23.33±8.33	34.67±2.40	16.00±2.52	24.33±4.48
Cyperaceae				
<i>Cyperus iria</i> L.	-	63.33±7.26	-	31.00±1.53
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	--	43.33±8.82	-	25.00±3.51
Euphorbiaceae				
<i>Macaranga peltata</i> Roxb. Mueller	55.33±3.53	51.00±4.93	68.33±4.91	16.67±4.91
<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	-	62.67±1.45	-	63.00±8.62
Fabaceae				
<i>Acacia auriculiformis</i> A.Cunn. ex Benth.	31.67±6.07	30.00±4.16	44.00±2.65	22.67±2.67
<i>Acacia mangium</i> Willd.	33.33±4.41	26.67±9.28	18.33±3.71	48.33±8.35
<i>Alysicarpus vaginalis</i> (L.) DC.	36.33±3.28	-	19.67±3.28	-
<i>Casia fistula</i> L.	72.00±3.06	78.33±4.41	54.33±4.10	76.67±4.98
<i>Senna siamea</i> (Lam.) Irwin et Barneby	-	31.00±4.16	-	41.67±2.40
<i>Senna tora</i> (L.) Roxb.	66.67±2.91	51.00±3.61	96.33±3.84	80.33±3.93
<i>Crotalaria filipes</i> Benth.	35.00±2.89	-	17.00±3.21	-
<i>Crotalaria pallida</i> L.	35.33±6.36	--	13.00±1.53	-
<i>Delonix regia</i> (Boj. ex Hook.) Raf.	-	58.33±13.02	-	46.00±11.59
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp.	-	40.00±8.66	-	65.67±11.57
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit	31.67±8.82	65.00±7.64	25.33±4.48	34.33±6.77
<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	46.67±7.26	28.67±2.03	34.67±8.74	24.00±4.00

Cont.....

Family and Plant species	Colonization %		Spore density %	
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 1	Site 2
Fabaceae				
<i>Peltophorum pterocarpum</i> (DC.) K.Heyne	-	55.00±2.89	-	41.00±8.74
<i>Pithocellobium dulce</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	-	54.67±2.40	-	65.33±4.06
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (L.) Panigrahi	31.67±1.76	-	57.67±3.84	-
<i>Smithia conferta</i> Sm.	60.00±10.41	36.67±9.28	15.00±3.46	59.33±3.18
<i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> (L.) Taub.	46.00±4.00	39.67±0.88	35.00±4.51	36.67±5.70
<i>Tamrindus indica</i> L.	-	41.33±4.37	-	78.33±2.91
Gentianaceae				
<i>Canscora diffusa</i> (Vahl) R.Br. ex Roem. & Schult.	71.33±3.53	60.00±7.64	50.33±3.76	34.00±5.29
Lamiaceae				
<i>Gmelina arborea</i> Roxb.	-	61.67±4.41	-	52.00±5.13
<i>Leucas aspera</i> (Willd.) Link.	-	47.00±4.36	-	16.67±4.91
Leguminosae				
<i>Phanera purpurea</i> (L.) Benth.	-	39.67±2.03	-	33.67±3.18
Linderniaceae				
<i>Lindernia crustacea</i> (L.) F. Muell.	43.33±13.02	30.00±7.64	10.00±1.53	26.00±6.43
Lythraceae				
<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	18.33±1.67	-	12.33±2.85	-
Malvaceae				
<i>Bombax ceiba</i> L.	-	61.33±1.86	-	36.67±2.60
<i>Gossypium arboreum</i> L.	-	68.33±10.93	-	25.67±3.38
Meliaceae				
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A.Juss.	-	58.33±3.18	-	85.67±3.38
Moraceae				
<i>Artocarpus alitis</i> (Parkinson) Fosberg	-	63.33±9.28	-	33.00±11.59
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i> Lam.	63.33±7.26	42.33±2.85	69.33±5.36	15.67±4.91
<i>Ficus hispida</i> L.f.	53.33±6.01	-	41.00±8.08	-
Myrtaceae				
<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.	-	48.67±1.76	-	24.00±4.62
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.) Skeels	-	38.00±4.73	-	50.33±6.69
Orobanchaceae				
<i>Rhaphicarpa fistulosa</i> (Hochst.) Benth.	23.33±4.41	-	14.67±3.28	-
Phyllanthaceae				
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	-	43.67±1.86	-	40.67±4.91
Plantaginaceae				
<i>Scoparia dulcis</i> L.	-	38.33±9.28	-	21.33±2.91
Poaceae				
<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> (DC.) Stapf	-	73.33±4.41	-	52.00±8.89
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	-	79.33±0.67	-	20.67±3.28
<i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> (L.) Willd.	56.67±9.28	-	31.67±3.67	-
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koeler	60.00±10.41	-	30.00±1.53	-
<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	31.67±6.01	-	13.00±4.36	-
<i>Eragrostis uniloides</i> (Retzius) Nees ex Steudel	35.00±5.00	-	21.00±3.61	-
<i>Panicum notatum</i> Hack	48.33±10.14	-	12.33±2.85	-
<i>Panicum</i> sp.	-	46.67±3.53	-	41.00±4.36
<i>Pennisetum hohenackeri</i> Hochst. ex Steud.	-	71.67±7.26	-	31.33±7.06
Pteridaceae				
<i>Adiantum philippense</i> L.	11.65±1.67	-	8.33±0.88	-
<i>Cheilanthes microptera</i> Sw.	26.67±2.91	-	20.00±1.15	-
<i>Pteris pellucida</i> L.	-	60.00±7.64	-	8.67±1.20
Rhamnaceae				
<i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i> Lam.	63.33±8.82	-	19.33±6.44	-
Rutaceae				
<i>Citrus limon</i> (L.) Osbeck	23.35±7.26	-	14.66±3.28	-
Sapotaceae				
<i>Manilkara zapota</i> (L.) P.Royen	28.30±6.01	46.67±2.33	26.00±6.56	36.67±2.03
<i>Mimusops elengi</i> L.	-	38.00±3.06	-	55.67±3.76
Simaroubaceae				
<i>Simarouba glauca</i> DC.	-	58.00±5.69	-	96.67±6.89
Verbenaceae				
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	76.00±5.29	65.33±3.71	304.00±3.21	72.00±6.08

Note: All values are mean of three readings; ± = Standard error.

Table 4: Relative Abundance (RA) and Isolation frequency (IF) of AM fungi on the selected mine sites.

AM fungal species	RA %		IF%	
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 1	Site 2
<i>Acaulospora delicata</i> Walker, Pfeiff. & Bloss	4.53	5.01	15.65	17.86
<i>Acaulospora dilatata</i> Morton	1.82	1.21	10.20	5.95
<i>Acaulospora myriocarpa</i> Spain, Sieverd. & Schenck,	1.16	3.03	4.08	8.33
<i>Acaulospora rehmii</i> Sieverd. & Toro	4.97	7.03	16.33	20.24
<i>Acaulospora scrobiculata</i> Trappe	7.26	6.85	12.93	29.76
<i>Acaulospora undulata</i> Sieverd.	5.28	2.39	13.61	8.33
<i>Funneliformis geosporum</i> (Nicolson & Gerd.) Walker & Schüßler	0.38	0.59	2.04	2.98
<i>Gigaspora albida</i> Schenck & Sm.	29.72	21.99	56.46	41.07
<i>Gigaspora decipiens</i> Hall & Abbott	10.43	2.06	19.73	3.57
<i>Gigaspora gigantea</i> (Nicolson & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe	-	3.52	-	7.14
<i>Gigaspora margarita</i> Becker & Hall	3.48	1.80	8.16	5.36
<i>Glomus macrocarpum</i> Tul. & Tul.	0.36	0.63	2.04	4.17
<i>Racocetra gregaria</i> (Schenck & Nicolson) Oehl, Souza & Sieverd.	3.08	6.68	10.88	8.93
<i>Rhizophagus fasciculatus</i> (Thaxt.) Gerd. & Trappe	0.96	-	3.40	-
<i>Sclerocystis rubiformis</i> Gerd. & Trappe	0.15	0.13	1.36	2.38
<i>Sclerocystis sinuosa</i> Gerd. & Bakshi	0.25	-	2.72	-
<i>Sclerocystis taiwanensis</i> Wu & Chen	-	0.06	-	1.19
<i>Scutellospora calospora</i> (Nicolson & Gerd.) Walker & Sanders	4.02	1.94	10.88	3.57
<i>Scutellospora heterogama</i> (Nicolson & Gerd.) Walker & Sanders	21.44	35.09	25.85	42.86

species belonging to 36 families. Mycorrhizal symbiosis plays a crucial role in survival and nutrient uptake of plants especially in P deficient derelict soils (Khan, 2005). However, very low P availability is responsible to inhibit AM colonization (Tinker, 1975; Bolan, 1991; de Miranda and Harris, 1994).

Acaulospora was the dominant genus on both the study sites. The acidic nature of the reject dumps may explain the dominance of the genus *Acaulospora*. According to Giovannetti *et al.*, (2010) the genus *Acaulospora* is predominant in acidic soils. However, this is contradictory to the results in earlier studies (Jasper *et al.*, 1988; Sastry and Johri, 1999; Sharma *et al.*, 2009; Kullu and Bahera, 2012) who recorded *Glomus* to be the dominant genus on the mine spoil dump. However, our study revealed that in terms of RA and IF, *Gi. albida* and *Sc. heterogama* were dominant species on site 1 and site 2, respectively. This dominance may be due to change in host's nutritional demands in the developmental stages as AM species that colonise the host in early stages become minor and are replaced by previously undetected species (Hart *et al.*, 2001; Husband *et al.*, 2002).

The present study also revealed a significant correlation between spore density and root colonization. This may be due to edaphic or climatic factors, root morphology of host plant, and germination of AM propagules (Beyene *et al.*, 2016; Zangaro *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, RA and IF showed significant positive correlation at both the sites indicating that AM species producing more spores usually had a wider distribution, while species with small geographic ranges usually produced fewer spores as reported earlier (Zhao and Zhao, 2007).

Table 5: Diversity measurements of AM fungal communities at the two mine sites.

Ecological parameters	Values	
	Site 1	Site 2
Shannon-Weiner index (H)	2.16	2.07
Simpsons index of dominance (D)	0.84	0.81
Evenness (E)	0.76	0.73

It is observed that the Shannon Wiener diversity (H) was higher at site 1. The distribution of AM species was uniform at both the study sites. According to Bever *et al.*, (1996) the pattern of unevenness in spore density is due to differences in sporulation pattern of AM species.

CONCLUSION

From a restoration perspective, it is very much essential to understand the factors leading to stabilization of mine wastelands and conditions under which plants establish to become stable plant communities. In the present study, an appreciable amount of AM fungal diversity has been recorded in plants growing on stabilized iron ore mine dumps. However, further studies are required to understand seasonal variations and sporulation patterns of AM fungi at different phenology of host plants.

REFERENCES

- Bever, J.D., Morton, J.B., Antonovics, J. and Schultz, P.A. 1996. Host dependent sporulation and species diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a mown grassland. *Journal of Ecology* **84** (1): 71-82.
- Beyene, D., Fassil, A. and Zebene, A. 2016. Diversity and abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under different plant and soil properties in Sidama, Southern Ethiopia. *Advances in Bioscience and Bioengineering* **4** (3): 16-24.
- Błaszowski, J. 2012. *Glomeromycota*. W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków. 297pp.
- Bolan, N.S. 1991. A critical review on the role of mycorrhizal fungi in the uptake of phosphorus by plants. *Plant and Soil* **134**: 189-207.
- Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. 1945. Determination of total organic carbon and available forms of phosphorus in soil. *Soil Science* **59**: 39-45.
- de Miranda, J.C.C. and Harris, P.J. 1994. The effect of soil phosphorus on the external mycelium growth of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi during the early stages of mycorrhiza formation. *Plant and Soil* **166**: 271-280.
- Finlay, R.D. 2008. Ecological aspects of mycorrhizal symbiosis: with special emphasis on the functional diversity of interactions involving the extraradical mycelium. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**: 1115-1126.
- Gerdemann, J.W. and Nicolson, T.H. 1963. Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted by wet sieving and decanting. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **46**: 235-244.
- Ghose, M.K. 1989. Land reclamation and protection of environment from the effect of coal mining operation. *Mine technology* **10** (5): 35-39.

- Gianinazzi, S., Gollotte, A., Binet, M.N., van Tuinen, D., Redecker, D. and Wipf, D. 2010. Agroecology: the key role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in ecosystem services. *Mycorrhiza* **20**: 519-530.
- Giovannetti, M., Avio, L. and Sbrana, C. 2010. Fungal spore germination and pre-symbiotic mycelial growth physiological and genetic aspects. In: *Arbuscular Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function*. (Eds.: Koltai, H. and Kapulnik, Y.). Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York 3-32.
- Hanway, J.J. and Heidel, H. 1952. Soil analysis method as used in Iowa State College soil testing laboratory. *Iowa State College of Agriculture* **57**: 1-31.
- Hart, M.M., Reader, R. J. and Klironomos, J. N. 2001. Life-history strategies of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in relation to their successional dynamics. *Mycologia* **93**: 1186-1194.
- Husband, R., Herre, E.A., Turner, S.L., Gallery, R. and Young, J.P.W. 2002. Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and patterns of host association over time and space in a tropical forest. *Molecular Ecology* **11**: 2669-2678.
- Jasper, D.A., Robson, A.D. and Abbott, L.K. 1988. Revegetation in an iron-ore mine - nutrient requirements for plant growth and the potential role of vesicular-arbuscular (VA) mycorrhizal fungi. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* **26**: 497-507.
- Karthikeyan, A. and Krishnakumar, N. 2012. Reforestation of bauxite mine spoils with *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. seedlings inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Annals of Forest Research* **55**: 207-216.
- Khan, A.G. 2004. Mycotrophy and its significance in wetland ecology and wetland management. In: *Developments in Ecosystems volume 1*. (Ed.: Wong, M.H.). Elsevier, Northhampton, UK, 97-114.
- Khan, A.G. 2005. Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace element contaminated soils in phytoremediation. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology* **18** (4): 355-364.
- Kikvidze, Z., Armas, C., Fukuda, K., Martínez-García, L.B., Miyata, M., Oda- Tanaka, A., Pugnaire, F.I. and Wu, B. 2010. The role of arbuscular mycorrhizae in primary succession: differences and similarities across habitats. *Web Ecology* **10**: 50-57.
- Kullu, B. and Behera, N. 2012. Status and diversity of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza in different age series spongeiron solid waste dumps with respect to reclamation. *The Bioscan* **7**: 539-542.
- Kundu, N.K. and Ghose, M.K. 1997. Soil profile characteristic in Rajmahal coalfield area. *Indian Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* **25** (1): 28-32.
- Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **42**: 421-448.
- Mummey, D.L., Stahl, P.D. and Buyer, J.S. 2002. Soil microbiological properties 20 years after surface mine reclamation: spatial analysis of reclaimed and undisturbed sites. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **34**: 1717-1725.
- Naithani, H.B., Sahni, K.C. and Bennet, S.S.R. 1997. *Forest Flora of Goa*. International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun.
- Phillips, J.M. and Hayman, D.S. 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infections. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **55**: 158-161.
- Rao, R.S. 1985-86. *Flora of Goa, Diu, Daman, Dadra and Nagarhaveli*, 2 vols. Botanical Survey of India, Calcutta.
- Read, D.J., Koucheki, H.K. and Hodgson, J. 1976. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza in natural vegetation systems I; The occurrence of infection. *New Phytologist* **77**: 641-653.
- Redecker, D., Schüßler, A., Stockinger, H., Stürmer, S.L., Morton, J.B. and Walker, C. 2013. An evidence-based consensus for the classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomeromycota*). *Mycologia* **23**: 515-531.
- Rodrigues, B.F. and Bukhari, M.J. 1995. Occurrence of VAMF colonization in herbaceous plant species growing on iron ore mine wasteland in Goa. In: *Microbial Biotechnology*. (Eds.: Reddy, S.M., Srivastava, H.P., Purohit, D.K. and Reddy, S.R.). Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, 83-86.
- Rodrigues, B.F. 2000. Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungal species from iron ore mine wastelands of Goa. *The Indian Forester* **126** (11): 1211-1216.
- Rodrigues, B.F. and Muthukumar, T. 2009. *Arbuscular mycorrhizae of Goa - a manual of identification Protocols*, Goa University, Goa, India 109-135.
- Sastry, M.S.R. and Johri, B.N. 1999. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity of stressed soils of Bailadila iron ore sites in Bastar region of Madhya Pradesh. *Current Science* **77**: 1095-1100.
- Schüßler, A. and Walker, C. 2010. *The Glomeromycota: A species list with new families and new genera*. The Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, The Royal Botanic Garden Kew, Botanische Staatssammlung Munich, and Oregon State University: Create Space Independent Publishing Platform. 1-58.
- Shannon, C.E. and Wiener, W. 1949. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, USA.

- Sharma, D., Kapoor, R. and Bhatnagar, A.K. 2009. Differential growth response of *Curculigo orchoides* to native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) communities varying in number and fungal components. *European Journal of Soil Biology* **45** (4): 328-333.
- Sheoran, A., Sheoran, V. and Poonia, P. 2008. Rehabilitation of mine degraded land by metallophytes. *Mining Engineers Journal* **10**: 11-16.
- Sheoran, V., Sheoran, A.S. and Poonia, P. 2010. Soil reclamation of abandoned mine land by revegetation: A review. *International Journal of Soil, Sediment and Water* **3**(2): 1-20.
- Simpson, E.H. 1949. Measurement of Diversity. *Nature* **163**: 688.
- Singh, A.N., Raghubanshi, A.S. and Singh, J.S. 2002. Plantations as a tool for mine spoil restoration. *Current Science* **82** (12): 1436-1441.
- Soka, G. and Ritchie, M. 2014. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and ecosystem processes: prospects for future research in tropical soils. *Open Journal of Ecology* **4**:11-22.
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science* **25**: 259-260.
- Tinker, P.B. 1975. Effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas on higher plants. *Symposium of the Society for Experimental Biology* **29**: 325-349.
- Vartak, V. D. 1966. *Enumeration of Plants from Gomantak*, MACS, Pune.
- Wang, B. and Qui, Y.L. 2006. Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizae in land plants. *Mycorrhiza* **16**: 299-363.
- Willis, A., Rodrigues, B.F. and Harris, P.J. 2013. The Ecology of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **32**:1-20.
- Zangaro, W., Nishidate, F.R., Camargo, F.R.S., Romagnoli, G.G. and Vandresen J. 2005. Relationships among arbuscular mycorrhizas, root morphology and seedling growth of tropical native woody species in southern Brazil. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **21**: 529-540.
- Zhao, D.D. and Zhao, Z.W. 2007. Biodiversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the hot-dry valley of the Jinsha River, Southwest China. *Applied Soil Ecology* **37**: 118-128.